Energy Efficiency Optimization for PSOAM Mode-Groups Based MIMO-NOMA Systems

Yan Song¹⁰, Jie Tang¹⁰, Senior Member, IEEE, Chuting Lin, Wanmei Feng¹⁰, Student Member, IEEE, Zhen Chen¹⁰, Member, IEEE, Daniel Ka Chun So¹⁰, Senior Member, IEEE, and Kai-Kit Wong¹⁰, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—Plane spiral orbital angular momentum (PSOAM) mode-groups (MGs) and multiple-input multiple-output nonorthogonal multiple access (MIMO-NOMA) serve as two emerging techniques for achieving high spectral efficiency (SE) in the next-generation networks. In this paper, a PSOAM MGs based multi-user MIMO-NOMA system is studied, where the base station transmits data to users by utilizing the generated PSOAM beams. For such scenario, the interference between users in different PSOAM mode groups can be avoided, which leads to a significant performance enhancement. We aim to maximize the energy efficiency (EE) of the system subject to the constraints of the total transmission power and the minimum data rate. This designed optimization problem is non-convex owing to the interference among users, and hence is quite difficult to tackle directly. To solve this issue, we develop a dual layer resource allocation algorithm where the bisection method is exploited in the outer layer to obtain the optimal EE and a resource distributed iterative algorithm is exploited in the inner layer to optimize the transmit power. Besides, an alternative resource allocation algorithm with Deep Belief Networks (DBN) is proposed to cope with the requirement for low computational complexity. Simulation results verify the theoretical findings

Manuscript received 5 October 2021; revised 21 April 2022; accepted 31 May 2022. Date of publication 8 June 2022; date of current version 16 August 2022. This work has been supported in part by Key Research and Development Project of Guangdong Province under Grant 2019B010156003, in part by National Key Research and Development Project under Grant 2019YFB1804100, in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61971194, in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province under Grant 2019A1515011607, in part by the Research Fund Program of Guangdong Key Laboratory of Aerospace Communication and Networking Technology under Grant 2018B030322004, in part by the Special Project for Guangxi Science and Technology Bases and Talents under Grant AC22035089, AD21075054 and in part by the Open Research Fund of National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University under Grant 2019D06. An earlier version of this paper was presented in part at the 3rd EAI International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Communications and Networks (AICON 2021), Xining, 2021 [DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-90196-7_16]. The associate editor coordinating the review of this article and approving it for publication was S. Ma. (Corresponding author: Jie Tang.)

Yan Song, Chuting Lin, Wanmei Feng, and Zhen Chen are with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China (e-mail: eesongyan1222@mail.scut.edu.cn; 13929316612@163.com; eewmfeng@mail.scut.edu.cn; chenz@scut.edu.cn).

Jie Tang is with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China, and also with the National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China (e-mail: eejtang@scut.edu.cn).

Daniel Ka Chun So is with the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K. (e-mail: d.so@manchester.ac.uk).

Kai-Kit Wong is with the Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K. (e-mail: kai-kit.wong@ucl.ac.uk).

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2022.3180762.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCOMM.2022.3180762

and demonstrate the proposed algorithms on the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system can obtain a better performance comparing to the conventional MIMO-NOMA system in terms of EE.

Index Terms—Energy efficiency (EE), plane spiral orbital angular momentum (PSOAM), non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA), mode group, resource allocation.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE rapid development of Internet-of-Things (IoTs) applications has caused the exponential growth of wireless devices. Consequently, the sixth generation (6G) wireless networks face particular challenges to meet the further requirements in terms of reliable data connectivity and ultra-high data-rate. In addition, the data rates of devices are severely limited by the insufficient spectrum resources. These trends make spectral efficiency (SE) the main performance indicator of mobile communication networks. On the other hand, massive number of connected devices also leads to enormous energy consumption, and thus energy efficiency (EE) has become an important and global topic from both environmental and economic reasons [1].

Recently, the orbital angular momentum (OAM) technique is regarded as one of the key techniques in the next-generation networks [2]. Apart from the traditional multiplexing schemes, mode division multiplexing using OAM exploits a new degree of freedom for improving the SE owing to its orthogonality, thus it is capable of meeting the requirements of high data rate with a reliable bit error rate (BER) [3]. OAM was discovered by Allen et al. from Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) light waves in 1992. As the wireless communication develops rapidly, the OAM technology was first implemented in the electromagnetic (EM) waves, which could solve the radio-band congestion problem by encoding the information in an OAM state [4]. To further explore the unique properties of OAM waves under the multipath transmission conditions, the authors in [5] proposed a hybrid orthogonal division multiplexing (HODM) scheme, which could achieve high system throughput and mitigate the multipath interference when used in conjunction with orthogonal frequency division multiplexing. In [6], by applying the multiple input multiple output (MIMO) technique, a point-to-point OAM-MIMO system is proposed to address the problem of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) degradation and the limitation of high OAM modes. It has been proven that this system can achieve over 200 Gbit/s data transmission

0090-6778 © 2022 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See https://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

with 21 streams at a distance of 10 meters. By considering the co-mode interference, the authors in [7] developed the concentric uniform circular arrays (UCAs) based radio vortex wireless communication model to increase the system capacity by using the optimal resource allocation schemes. To further improve the performance of the OAM-based communication systems in long-distance transmission, an OAM index modulation transmission scheme for long distance had been established in [8], where different OAM modes were mapped to the index domain. In addition, the authors in [9] proposed an OAM spatial modulation (OAM-SM) system and analysed the capacity, EE, average bit error probability and the robust to path-loss attenuations, which outperformed the OAM-MIMO system at long distance transmission.

However, the main practical challenge for applying such technology into the electromagnetic (EM) filed is the beam divergence and phase singularity caused by the OAM modes and long-distance transmission. With the increase of OAM modes number and the transmission distance, the dark zone in the center of the OAM wave becomes larger. Consequently, the receiver size will become extremely large using the conventional whole circle receiving scheme, which leads to large receiver form factor and high hardware complexity. To solve the problem, the authors in [10] proposed the partial arc sampling receiving (PASR), which could improve the SE with a low computational complexity and compact size. Moreover, S. Zheng et al. proposed a new form of OAM waves called plane spiral orbital angular momentum (PSOAM), which propagated along the transverse plane intelligently, and thereby avoiding the aforementioned issues of phase singularity and the diversity [11]. Subsequently, the authors proposed a PSOAM waves-based MIMO system to enhance the capacity gain and reduce the spatial correlations for the LOS channel by utilizing the diversity of different OAM waves [12]. Meanwhile, the authors in [13] had studied and proven that the PSOAM based MIMO system achieved better system performance in the NLOS channel than in the LOS channel. In addition, the authors further analysed the PSOAM beams and put forward the concept of PSOAM mode-groups (MGs), which had the promising prospect in SM-MIMO, radar detection and smart antenna. More importantly, the PSOAM MGs wave is a structural radio beam, which can manipulate its phase distribution and intensity, and thus is a feasible way for the PSOAM MGs beamforming method thanks to its directional gain and vorticity [14]. They also proved that the beamforming based PSOAM MGs was superior to the MIMO beamforming method due to its simplicity. In contrast with the scheme of OAM shift keying, PSOAM MGs can be applied in physical encryption, and thus the authors in [15] proposed a low probability of intercept system by mapping symbols to different PSOAM MGs beams, which achieved secure communication within the practical SNR ranges. To demonstrate the performance of PSOAM MGs, the authors in [16] applied PSOAM MGs based MIMO techniques into a single-user system, where the PASR method was adopted to demultiplex the PSOAM MGs data streams. Since the PSOAM MGs can enhance the SNR and decrease the spatial correction of subchannels, the BER and SE performance of the proposed system

outperforms the existing MIMO systems. Moreover, a partial arc transmitting scheme is developed in [17] to generate an OAM MG with high equivalent OAM order. This work was extended to realize a high-purity eight-mode PSOAM antenna using a rotating parabolic reflector and a coaxial resonator group [18]. The authors in [19] have further studied the peculiarity of PSOAM MGs and demonstrated the intensity patterns and the phase variation, which offered a potential application prospect for beamforming and radar imaging.

On the other hand, non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) is regarded as a key technique to enhance the SE in the fifth generation (5G) and beyond 5G (B5G) mobile networks [20]-[22]. It can simultaneously serve a large amount of users with the same physical resource via superposition coding (SC), where different users can be distinguished with different power levels and successive interference cancellation (SIC) is used to cancel the interferences among multiple users [23]. In particular, NOMA has been widely used in MISO and MIMO systems since it can obtain superior performance in terms of EE and SE in comparison with the orthogonal multiple access (OMA) system [24]-[26]. In [24], an EE maximization problem was investigated in a NOMA network, where the resource allocation and the time switching factors were jointly considered and a dual-layer algorithm using Dinkelbach method was proposed to tackle the non-convex problem. In [25], a power minimization problem was studied in a multi-user MIMO-NOMA network, where the beamforming vectors and power allocation were optimized, and a closed-form solution for beamforming vectors were obtained. Moreover, to overcome the limitation of beamspace MIMO, the authors in [26] proposed a beamspace MIMO-NOMA system that the supported users number was larger than the RF chains number. Simulation results demonstrated that it achieved better EE performance compared with the existing beamspace MIMO systems. In [27], by integrating different OAM modes and NOMA techniques, an efficient downlink NOMA-OAM-MIMO scenario was presented to further enhance the system capacity.

A. Motivation and Contributions

NOMA can simultaneously serve a large amount of users with the same physical resource via superposition coding, and thus can significantly improve the system performance. Due to the increasing demand of high data-rate communication, utilizing only NOMA technique does not meet the ever-growing needs of spectrum resources in the next-generation wireless communication systems. PSOAM mode-groups technique takes a different approach to improve the spectral efficiency by introducing the orthogonality in azimuthal domain. Compared with the conventional OAM technique, PSOAM can avoid the issues of phase singularity, and thus the coaxial propagation for different OAM modes can be achieved easily [11]. Therefore, the two emerging techniques can reinforce each other for achieving high spectral efficiency. Motivated by this, this work integrates PSOAM technique into MIMO-NOMA to further enhance the system performance. Specifically, in contrast to previous literature which analysed SE or EE in single-user OAM systems [9], single-user PSOAM MGs systems [16] and multi-user OAM-MIMO-NOMA systems [27], in this

paper, we investigate the EE optimization problem for the PSOAM MGs based multi-user MIMO-NOMA system, where the transmit power is optimized through the proposed dual layer resource allocation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work that explore the EE performance of the PSOAM MGs based multi-user MIMO-NOMA networks. Our main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We design a novel resource allocation framework for the downlink PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system, where a base station (BS) transmits data to multiple users simultaneously through the generated PSOAM beams. The goal of this work is to investigate the EE maximization problem of the proposed framework while satisfying the transmit power and minimum user rate constraints. As a result, two schemes are developed in this paper to cope with this optimization problem.
- Firstly, we present a dual layer resource allocation algorithm to solve this problem. The resultant optimization problem is non-convex and NP-hard involving the optimization of the transmit power, which cannot be solved directly. By applying the generalized fractional programming technique, we transform the original EE maximization into a parametric programming problem, which is still difficult to solve directly. Then, we adopt the first order Taylor approximation to transform the problem into a convex problem, and present a dual layer resource allocation algorithm to solve this problem. The outer layer exploits a bisection method to optimize the EE. In each iteration, the inner layer uses a resource distributed iterative algorithm to optimize the transmit power with a fixed EE.
- The complexity of the proposed dual-layer iterative resource allocation algorithm grows considerably as the number of users increases, thus it is hard to cope with the requirement for low computational complexity. To overcome this challenge, we propose a resource allocation scheme with Deep Belief Networks (DBN) to achieve the goal of EE maximization. The learning process includes training-data generation, model optimization, and model testing.
- Simulation results validate that the proposed dual layer resource allocation algorithm and the proposed resource allocation algorithm with DBN are capable of achieving the optimal EE of our proposed framework. In addition, numerical results also demonstrate that the proposed PSOAM MGs based multi-user MIMO-NOMA system can achieve superior EE performance in comparison with the conventional multi-user MIMO-NOMA systems.

B. Organization and Notation

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The proposed PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system model and the problem formulation of optimizing EE are described in Section II. In Section III, we propose a dual-layer resource allocation algorithm to solve the non-convex EE optimization problem. In Section IV, another alternative scheme with the help of deep learning is investigated to acquire the solution that maximizes the EE of the proposed system. In Section V, simulation results are presented to validate the effectiveness of the theoretical findings. Finally, we draw the conclusions for this paper in Section VI.

The notations used throughout the full paper are illustrated as follows. Bold case letters represent the vector and non-bold case letters represent the scalar. $\mathbb{E}[|a|^2]$ denotes the power of a. The gradient of f(m) at the point m_0 is denoted by $\nabla f(m_0)$. \mathbf{h}^T denotes the transpose of the vector \mathbf{h} , and $\|\mathbf{a}\|^2$ denotes the l2-norm of \mathbf{a} . We use $[s]^+$ to represent $\max(s, 0)$.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model

As shown in Fig. 1, we consider a downlink PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system, which includes one BS with N_t antennas. We consider K (K=4) randomly distributed users in a fan-shaped area. The considered system is composed of uniform linear arrays (ULAs) and the antenna spacing is ζ . At the transmitting side, each antenna only sends data streams to a corresponding user, in which two superposed PSOAM MGs waves are radiated into the free space using the structure of the circular travelling-wave antenna with a ring horn [28]. The total number of PSOAM modes superposed in one PSOAM mode group is marked as G, which is sorted in the ascending order as $\{l^0, l^0 + \triangle l, l^0 + 2\triangle l, \dots, l^0 + (G - Q)\}$ 1) $\triangle l$, and the mode interval is marked as $\triangle l$. As stated in [16], the equivalent PSOAM MGs phase slope can be calculated by the first and the last modes in a MG, and we assume that the signals of the n^{th} transmitting antenna is sent to the n^{th} user. At the receiver, each user is equipped with $N_r = 2$ receiving antennas, which are placed within the main lobe of the superposed PSOAM MGs waves. Due to the orthogonality of different PSOAM mode groups in the main lobe zone [19], there is no interference between each different PSOAM mode group. However, since all users share the same bandwidth, the interferences among the users can not be ignored when decoding the signals for the same PSOAM mode group. The total transmit power is restricted to P_{max} and the signal transmitted to user k in the mq^{th} mode group is written as

$$X_{k,mg} = \sqrt{p_{k,mg}} \cdot x_{k,mg},\tag{1}$$

where $p_{k,mg}$ denotes the power allocation of the mg^{th} PSOAM mode group at the k^{th} user and $x_{k,mg}$ is the transmit data symbol for the mg^{th} mode group of user k, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[|x_{k,mg}|^2] = 1.$

Let φ_0^k be the initial azimuthal angle of the PSOAM MG pattern of user k for the mg^{th} mode group, the link of channel gain h_{k,n_r,n_t,m_g} between the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna and the n_r^{th} receiving antenna is formulated as

$$h_{k,n_{r},n_{t},mg} = \Gamma_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G^{mg}}} \sum_{g^{mg}=1}^{G^{mg}} e^{-j(l_{0}^{mg}+g^{mg} \triangle l)\varphi_{k,n_{r},n_{t}}} = \Gamma_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G^{mg}}} e^{-jl_{eq}^{mg}\varphi_{k,n_{r},n_{t}}},$$
(2)

where $\Gamma_1 = \beta_{k,n_t} \frac{\lambda}{4\pi d_{k,n_r,n_t}} e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d_{k,n_r,n_t}}$, l_0^{mg} and l_{eq}^{mg} represent the first mode among mode group mg and the equivalent



Fig. 1. Illustration of a PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system model.



Fig. 2. The structure of the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system.

OAM order of mode group mg, respectively, d_{k,n_r,n_t} represents the distance between the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna and the n_r^{th} receiving antenna of user k, λ is the wave length, and $\beta_{k,n_t} = \sqrt{G_t G_r}$ is a constant that related to the antenna gain of the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna and the n_r^{th} receiving antenna. Specifically, the interference of the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna to the other K-1 non-intended users can be calculated by the antenna gain according to the amplitude and phase distribution of the PSOAM MGs wave [19]. G_t can be determined by the distribution of the users and the interference of the minor lobe. In addition, φ_{k,n_r,n_t} represents the azimuthal angle between the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna and the n_r^{th} receiving antenna of user k, which is described in Fig. 2. To calculate the phase φ_{k,n_r,n_t} , there are two conditions that should be considered. One is when the initial azimuthal angle of the PSOAM MG pattern $\varphi_0^k > 0$ and the other is when $\varphi_0^k < 0$. For $\varphi_0^k > 0$, it can be observed in Fig. 2 that it can be further divided into three cases, which are discussed as follows:

1) Case 1 $k = n_t$: We define the vertical distance between the center of the two receiving antennas of user k and the corresponding transmitting antenna as d_k . The radius of the receiving aperture is marked as R. The distance between the n_r^{th} receiving antenna and the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna can be calculated by

$$d_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t} = \sqrt{d_k^2 + R^2},$$
(3)

where $R = d_k \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2|l_{eq}^{mg1} - l_{eq}^{mg2}|}\right)$ and d_k is the relative distance [16]. The phase φ_k between d_k and $d_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t}$

is given by

$$\varphi_k = (-1)^{n_r} \cdot \arctan(\frac{R}{d_k}). \tag{4}$$

Hence, the phase $\varphi_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t}$ is calculated by

$$\varphi_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t} = \varphi_k + \varphi_0^k.$$
(5)

2) Case 2 $k < n_t$: According to the cosine theorem, we can calculate the distance between the n_r^{th} receiving antenna of the non-intended user k and the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna as follows in (6), as shown at the bottom of the next page. In addition, the azimuthal between the n_r^{th} receiving antenna and the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna of user k is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{k,n_{r},n_{t}} &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \omega, \\
\omega &= \arccos\left(\frac{((n_{t} - k) \cdot \zeta)^{2} + d_{k,n_{r},n_{t}}^{2} - d_{k,n_{r},n_{t},k=n_{t}}^{2}}{2 \cdot d_{k,n_{r},n_{t}} \cdot (|n_{t} - k| \cdot \zeta)}\right). \\
\end{aligned}$$
(8)

3) Case 3 $k > n_t$: Similarly, the distance between the n_r^{th} receiving antenna of user k and the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna is formulated as follows in (9), as shown at the bottom of the next page. The azimuthal angle of the k^{th} user from n_r^{th} antenna to the n_t^{th} transmitting antenna is

$$\varphi_{k,n_r,n_t} = \omega - \frac{\pi}{2},\tag{10}$$

where ω can be calculated by (8).

For the condition $\varphi_0^k < 0$, the related angle and distance can be calculated using the same approach as the condition $\varphi_0^k > 0$. Due to $d_{k,n_r,n_t} \gg R$ and $d_{k,n_r,n_t} \gg \zeta$, we can make an approximation that $d_{k,n_r,n_t} \approx d_k$. Hence, the channel gain is expressed as

$$h_{k,n_r,n_t,mg} = \beta_{k,n_t} \frac{\lambda}{4\pi d_k} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G^{mg}}} e^{-jl_{eq}^{mg}\varphi_{k,n_r,n_t}}.$$
 (11)

Inspired by the PASR method, we use 1/2 circular arc to receive the PSOAM MGs waves, where N_r receiving antennas are evenly distributed at the π angular arc. Each antenna only sends data streams to a corresponding user at the transmitting side for the case of PSOAM MGs-based NOMA. In particular, the channel from the l^{th} transmitting antenna to the k^{th} user in mode group mg can be denoted as $h_{k,l,mg}$. We assume the channel state information is known and SIC will be adopted to cancel the interferences among multiple users in the NOMA system. Particularly, within the same mode group, user k can detect the signal of user l (l < k) and remove the signal from its observation, while the signal to user l (l > k) is treated as the interference at user k. As a result, the total rate of all Kusers can be formulated as

$$R_{total} = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} Blog_2 \left(1 + \frac{p_{k,mg} \lambda_{k,k,mg}^2}{\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2} \right) + \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} Blog_2 \left(1 + \frac{p_{K,mg} \lambda_{k,K,mg}^2}{\sigma^2} \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\lambda_{k,l,mg}$ is the singular values of the channel matrix that satisfies the condition: $\lambda_{1,1,mg} \leq \lambda_{2,2,mg} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{K,K,mg}$.

B. Power Consumption Model

In general, the power consumption of the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system consists of transmit power and circuit power, which is defined as follows

$$PC_{total} = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} p_{k,mg} + P_c, \qquad (13)$$

where α is the power amplifier drain efficiency and $P_c = \sum_{n_t=1}^{N_t} P_{T_x}^{(n_t)} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n_r=1}^{N_r} P_{R_x}^{(k,n_r)}$ is the circuit power consumption of system hardware. The circuit power consumed on the RF chain attached to the n_t^{th} transmit antenna and the RF chain attached to the n_r^{th} receiving antenna at the k^{th} user is denoted as $P_{T_x}^{(n_t)}$ and $P_{R_x}^{(k,n_r)}$, which account for mixer, filter, intermediate frequency amplifier and so on [29]–[31].

C. Problem Formulation

The resultant EE of the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system can be given by

$$\gamma_{EE} \triangleq \frac{R_{total}}{PC_{total}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} Blog_2 \left(1 + \frac{p_{k,mg} \lambda_{k,k,mg}^2}{\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2}\right)}{\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} p_{k,mg} + P_c}.$$
 (14)

The purpose of this work is to maximize the EE of the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system with the minimum user rate and the transmit power constraints. Therefore, the EE optimization problem is expressed in (15)-(18), as shown at the bottom of the next page, where $\mathcal{K} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ represents the set of users, $\mathcal{MG} = \{mq1, mq2, \dots, MGs\}$ denotes the set of all PSOAM mode groups. C1 guarantees the minimum user rate constraint. C2 ensures that the total transmit power is limited to P_{max} . In C3, $p_{k,mg}$ should be a positive integer and $mg \in \mathcal{MG}$ for any $k \in \mathcal{K}$ is requested. The considered EE maximization problem is non-convex and thus the solution cannot be obtained directly. In order to solve this problem, we propose an effective dual layer resource allocation algorithm that can optimize γ_{EE} in the outer-layer and then turn to deal with P in the inner-layer with a fixed γ_{EE} iteratively.

III. PROPOSED DUAL-LAYER ITERATIVE RESOURCE ALLOCATION ALGORITHM

In this section, we consider the resource allocation algorithm for the proposed system. The optimization problem in (15)-(18) belongs to a non-convex and non-linear fractional programming problem and thus very difficult to acquire the solution directly. As a result, we develop a dual-layer iterative method where the optimal power allocation P is optimized in the inner-layer and the optimal EE is obtained in the outer-layer. To tackle this problem, we transform the fractional objective function into a subtractive form of numerator and denominator according to the following proposition.

Proposition 1: For $R_{k,mg}(\mathbf{P}) > 0$ and $PC_{total}(\mathbf{P}) > 0$, the optimal solution \mathbf{P}^* of (15) is achieved when the following equation is consistent:

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P} \in \{C1, C2, C3\}} [R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^* P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P})]$$

= $[R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*) - \gamma_{EE}^* P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*)] = 0,$ (19)

$$\gamma_{EE}^* = \max_{\boldsymbol{P} \in \{C1, C2, C3\}} \frac{R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P})}{PC_{total}(\boldsymbol{P})} = \frac{R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*)}{PC_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*)}, \quad (20)$$

where γ_{EE}^* can be the optimal EE and P^* can be the optimal resource allocation of the optimization problem.

Proof: See Appendix A.

Proposition 1 gives the condition for developing the optimal resource allocation method from the perspective of necessary and sufficient. We define $\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE})$ as follows

$$\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{P}} \left[R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) \right], \quad (21)$$

where $\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE})$ is a function with independent variable γ_{EE} . To solve the equivalent problem in (19), we first obtain the γ_{EE}^* . Then, we have the following proposition.

$$d_{k,n_r,n_t} = \sqrt{((n_t - k) \cdot \zeta)^2 + d_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t}^2 - 2d_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t} \cdot \zeta \cdot (n_t - k) \cdot \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t})}.$$
(6)

$$d_{k,n_r,n_t} = \sqrt{((n_t - k) \cdot \zeta)^2 + d_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t}^2 - 2d_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t} \cdot \zeta \cdot (k - n_t) \cdot \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi_{k,n_r,n_t,k=n_t})}.$$
(9)

Proposition 2: Problem (21) is monotonically decreasing with respect to γ_{EE} .

Proof: See Appendix B.

By applying proposition 2, the bisection method can be used to find γ_{EE}^* . We can adjust the upper bound of γ_{EE} when $\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}) > 0$, whilst the lower bound when $\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}) < 0$ until the optimal EE is found.

For a given γ^i_{EE} at the i^{th} iteration, the optimization problem is now transformed as

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P}} R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^{i} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P})$$

s.t. C1, C2, C3. (22)

To solve problem (22), we define the function $\Upsilon(\gamma^i_{EE})$ as follows

$$\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}^{i}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{P}} R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^{i} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}).$$
(23)

Since different PSOAM MGs are orthogonal to each other, $\Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}^i)$ can be further simplified. Hence, we can split R_{total} into MGs parts according to different PSOAM mode groups, and the total transmit power can be split for the same reason. Therefore, (23) can be transformed by

$$R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^{i} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P})$$

= $F(\boldsymbol{P}) - H(\boldsymbol{P}),$ (24)

$$F(\boldsymbol{P}) = f_{mg1}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg1}) + \dots + f_{mgMGs}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mgMGs}), \quad (25)$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{P}) = h_{mg1}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg1}) + \dots + h_{mgMGs}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mgMGs}). \quad (26)$$

For each PSOAM MG, by using a logarithmic transformation, we can obtain the expression of the function $f_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg})$ and $h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg})$ as follows

$$f_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} Blog_2(\sum_{l=k}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2) - \gamma_{EE}^i (\alpha \sum_{l=k}^{K} p_{k,mg} + \frac{P_c}{MGs}),$$
(27)

$$h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} Blog_2(\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2), \quad (28)$$

where $p_{l,mg}$ is an element in the vector P that is expressed as

$$p_{l,mg} = \mathbf{P}(1, (mg - 1) \cdot K + l).$$
 (29)

Besides, the non-convex constraint C1 in problem (22) is mathematically transformed into an equivalent convex linear form, which is formulated by

$$C1': (1-2^{\frac{R_{req}}{B}}) \left(\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2\right) + p_{k,mg} \lambda_{k,k,mg}^2 \ge 0, \quad \forall k, \forall mg. \quad (30)$$

Now, the optimization problem (22) is equivalent to

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P}} F(\boldsymbol{P}) - H(\boldsymbol{P})$$

s.t. $C1', C2, C3.$ (31)

Proposition 3: Although the constraints of the optimization problem (31) are convex sets, (15), (22) and (31) remain to be NP-hard problems.

Proof: See [32] for the proof of Proposition 3.

From (24), the optimization problem can be regarded as the sum of the expression pairs of PSOAM MGs. We define the expression pairs as f minus h and each expression pair is regarded as two concave functions. Therefore, the corresponding resource allocation problem is still non-convex, which is hard to solve directly. Fortunately, we can approximate the function h to an affine function by applying the first order Taylor approximation to formulate the function. Hence, the corresponding objective function can be written as a concave function minus an affine function, and we can transform the problem into a convex optimization problem.

To solve this issue, we can obtain P_{mg}^q through an iterative resource allocation algorithm at the q^{th} iteration. In particular, the first-order Taylor expansion at P^q is formulated as

$$h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q}) + \nabla h_{mg}^{T}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg} - \boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})^{T}, \qquad (32)$$

where $\nabla h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg})$ represents the gradient of $h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg})$, $\boldsymbol{P}_{mg} = \boldsymbol{P}((mg-1)K+1, mg \cdot k)$ and the second part in (32)

$$\max_{p_{k,mg}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} Blog_2 \left(1 + \frac{p_{k,mg} \cdot \lambda_{k,k,mg}^2}{\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2} \right)}{\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} p_{k,mg} + P_c}$$
(15)

s.t.
$$C1: B \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{p_{k,mg} \cdot \lambda_{k,k,mg}^2}{\sum\limits_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2} \right) \ge R_{req}, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \; \forall mg \in \mathcal{MG},$$
(16)

$$C2: \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} p_{k,mg} \le P_{max},$$
(17)

$$C3: p_{k,mg} \ge 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \ \forall mg \in \mathcal{MG},$$
(18)

is written as

$$\nabla h_{mg}^{T}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg})(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg} - \boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})^{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{B}{\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{mg} \times (\boldsymbol{P}_{mg} - \boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})^{T},$$
(33)

where e_{mg} is a $1 \times K$ matrix. If k + 1 < j < K, we have $e_{mg}(j) = \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 / ln2$. In other cases, we have $e_{mg}(j) = 0$.

Combining (32) with (33), the optimization problem (31) can be further transformed as follows in (34), as shown at the bottom of the next page. Fortunately, (34) is a standard convex optimization problem, which is tackled using the Lagrange duality algorithm. To solve the problem, we define μ , ν and ψ as the Lagrangian multipliers of C1', C2 and C3 respectively, and the Lagrangian function of the optimization problem is given as follows in (35), as shown at the bottom of the next page. Hence, the dual optimization problem is denoted as

$$g(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{P}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\psi}), \qquad (36)$$

and the dual optimization problem is given by

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\psi}} g(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\psi})$$
s.t. $\boldsymbol{\mu} \ge \mathbf{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \ge \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\psi} \ge 0.$ (37)

We propose an iterative algorithm based on gradient descent algorithm first to achieve the optimal P for the dual optimization problem (37). In particular, $p_{k,mg}$ is updated successively according to the gradient of the Lagrangian function (35) in terms of $p_{k,mg}$, which is expressed as

$$\nabla_{p_{k,mg}} \mathcal{L} := \frac{B}{\ln 2} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{n,k,mg}^2}{\sum_{l=n}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{n,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2} - \frac{B}{\ln 2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{(e_{mg})_k}{\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg}^2 \lambda_{(k+1),l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \mu_{m,mg} \left(1 - 2^{\frac{R_{reg}}{B}}\right) (\lambda_{m,k,mg})^2 + \mu_{k,mg} (\lambda_{k,k,mg})^2 + \nu_{k,mg} - \psi,$$
(38)

$$p_{k,mg}^{(s_2)} = \left[p_{k,mg}^{(s_2-1)} + t \nabla_{p_{k,mg}}^{(s_2-1)} \mathcal{L} \right]^+,$$
(39)

where $(e_{mg})_k$ represents the k^{th} element of e_{mg} , t represents the iteration step size of $p_{k,mg}$, while $p_{k,mg}^{(s_2)}$ and $p_{k,mg}^{(s_2-1)}$ indicate the power allocation of the s_2^{th} and $(s_2-1)^{th}$ iteration.

We then address the optimization problem (37) to obtain the optimal Lagrangian multipliers. It is worth noting that the objective function and the constraints are linear with reference to Lagrangian multipliers. Hence, the dual problem is convex over the dual variables μ , ν , ψ , which is optimized according to the one dimensional searching algorithm. However, the gradient algorithm is not necessarily feasible since the dual function (36) is not guaranteed to be differentiable. Hence, we update μ , ν , ψ through the sub-gradient algorithm, which is presented in Lemma as follows.

Lemma: The sub-gradient of the Lagrange multipliers in $g(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \psi)$ is denoted as

$$\nabla_{\mu_{k,mg}}g := \left(1 - 2^{\frac{R_{reg}}{B}}\right) \left(\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^2 + \sigma^2\right) + p_{k,mg} \lambda_{k,k,mg}^2, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \ \forall mg \in \mathcal{MG},$$
(40)

$$\nabla_{\nu_{k,mg}}g := p_{k,mg}, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \; \forall mg \in \mathcal{MG}, \tag{41}$$

$$\nabla_{\psi}g := P_{max} - \sum_{mg=1}^{MOS} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{k,mg}.$$
 (42)

Proof: See the Lemma 1 in [33] for detailed proof. Therefore, with the sub-gradient of the Lagrange multipliers, we can update μ , ν and ψ as follows

$$\mu_{k,mg}^{(s_1)} = \left[\mu_{k,mg}^{(s_1-1)} + \varpi^{(s_1-1)} \nabla_{\mu_{k,mg}} g \right]^+, \\ \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad \forall mg \in \mathcal{MG},$$

$$(43)$$

$$\nu_{k,mg}^{(s_1)} = \left[\nu_{k,mg}^{(s_1-1)} + \varpi^{(s_1-1)} \nabla_{\nu_{k,mg}} g\right]',$$

$$\forall k \in \mathcal{K} \quad \forall mg \in \mathcal{MC} \tag{44}$$

$$\sum_{mag}^{s_1)} = \left[\psi_{k,mg}^{(s_1-1)} + \varpi^{(s_1-1)} \nabla_{\psi_{k,mg}} g \right]^+, \quad (45)$$

where ϖ represents the iteration step size of Lagrange multipliers.

Now we can conclude the approach to deal with the optimization problem in (15)-(18) under a given γ_{EE} . The detail information of the proposed dual-layer resource allocation algorithm is presented in TABLE I.

We denote the computational complexity of the proposed algorithm by $O(N_1N_2N_3)$, which consists of three parts: the outer layer part N_1 , the inner layer part N_2 and the SIC part N_3 [24]. The number of iterations of the outer layer is bounded by $N_1 = L_{max}$, where L_{max} is the maximum iteration number [32]. The complexity of the inner layer is approximated as $N_2 = O(\lceil I^{max} - I^0 - \frac{\epsilon}{\triangle I} \rceil \cdot K \cdot MGs \cdot log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$, where $I^{max} = \max_{\mathbf{P}} [F(\mathbf{P}) - H(\mathbf{P})], \Delta I = \min_q [I^q - I^{q-1}]$ [34]. The interference of each terminal is successfully cancelled in SIC process and thus the computational complexity depends on the number of users is approximately of order $O(K^{2.376})$ [35]. In general, the total complexity of the proposed resource allocation iterative algorithm is approximately $O(L_{max} \cdot \lceil I^{max} - I^0 - \frac{\epsilon}{\triangle I} \rceil \cdot K \cdot MGs \cdot log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot K^{2.376})$.

IV. PROPOSED RESOURCE ALLOCATION ALGORITHM WITH DBN

As the number of users increases, the complexity of the proposed dual-layer iterative resource allocation algorithm grows considerably, which is hard to cope with the requirement for low latency applications [36]. Deep learning is capable of learning complex algorithms from only observed data and has achieved remarkable success in communication systems. To overcome this challenge, we investigate a resource allocation algorithm with deep learning framework to acquire the solution that maximizes the EE of the proposed system. Specifically, we adopt a deep belief network (DBN) as the backbone network since its robustness and flexibility in solving the resource allocation optimization problem. Before applying the DBN to the optimization problem of this paper, we briefly review its advantages and the specific implementation details. Then, the involved training data generation, model optimization, and model prediction are presented as follows.

A. Preliminaries

A DBN consists of multiple Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs), which include an input layer and a hidden layer. Input data is added to the input layer of the DBN and then passed to the hidden layer, where this information is processed by multiple neurons. The optimization process of the DBN includes pre-training and fine-tuning. Firstly, the DBN is pre-trained layer by layer using the energy function to obtain the initial parameters. Secondly, based on the back-propagation algorithm, the DBN can be fine-tuned by supervision of training data.

B. Training Data Generation

The success of deep neural networks is inseparable from the support of a large amount of training data. Hence, the generation of training data is necessary. The vector of the randomly generated channel gains serve as the input X of the DBN. Besides, the output vector Y of the DBN is provided by the corresponding optimal solution $\{p^*\}$, which is obtained through the complete algorithm developed in Section III. The BS with N_t antennas radiating MGs mode groups communicate with K users, the set of optimal solution $\{p^*\}$ is composed of $MGs \cdot K$ components. Hence, the number of DBN is set to $MGs \cdot K$ in this paper. In particular, \hat{y}_l , the resource allocated to user k under the mg^{th} mode group, is predicted by DBN_l.

C. Model Optimization

1) *Pre-Training:* Following the practice of deep learning, network optimization can benefit from better initialization in pre-training. Let v and h represent the input and hidden layer of the RBM in DBN_l, respectively. The biases of v and h is

accordingly marked as \mathbf{b}_v and \mathbf{b}_h . The weight between \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{h} is defined as \mathbf{w} . Let $\Lambda = {\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}_v, \mathbf{b}_h}$. The loss of pre-training $\mathcal{L}_{pre}(\mathbf{v}_{(t)})$ for set Λ can be formulated as follows:

$$\Lambda_{(t+1)} = \Lambda_{(t)} - \chi \frac{-\partial \log \mathcal{L}_{pre}(\mathbf{v}_{(t)})}{\partial \Lambda_{(t)}}, \qquad (46)$$

where t and χ are the number of iterations and the learning rate, respectively. $\mathcal{L}_{pre}(\mathbf{v}_{(t)})$ indicates the probability distribution of $\mathbf{v}_{(t)}$ and can be normalized as the following function

$$\mathcal{L}_{pre}(\mathbf{v}_{(t)}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{(t)}} \mathcal{L}_{pre}(\mathbf{v}_{(t)}, \mathbf{h}_{(t)})$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{(t)}} \frac{\exp(-E(\mathbf{v}_{(t)}, \mathbf{h}_{(t)}))}{\sum_{\mathbf{v}_{(t)}} \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{(t)}} \exp(-E(\mathbf{v}_{(t)}, \mathbf{h}_{(t)}))}, \quad (47)$$

where $E(\mathbf{v}_{(t)}, \mathbf{h}_{(t)})$ is the energy function and is defined as

$$E(\mathbf{v}_{(t)}, \mathbf{h}_{(t)}) = -\mathbf{v}_{(t)}'\mathbf{w}_{(t)}\mathbf{h}_{(t)} - \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{v}_{(t)}}'\mathbf{v}_{(t)} - \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{h}_{(t)}}'\mathbf{h}_{(t)}.$$
 (48)

2) *Fine-Tuning:* With the supervision of training data, the parameter set Λ can be fine-turned iteratively by applying the back-propagation algorithm, which can be formulated by

$$\Lambda_{(t'+1)} = \Lambda_{(t')} - \tilde{\chi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_f}{\partial \Lambda_{(t')}},\tag{49}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}$ represents the learning rate and t' is the number of iteration in the fine-tuning stage. Particularly, the loss function of fine-tuning stage \mathcal{L}_f is adopted as the cross entropy function to reduce the estimation error of DBN_l. The fine-tuning procedure can be calculated as

$$\mathcal{L}_{f} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \left(y_{l}^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}_{l}^{(i)}) + (1 - y_{l}^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}_{l}^{(i)}) \right),$$
(50)

where \mathcal{N} is the number of training instances, $y_l^{(i)}$ is the output of corresponding instance in the i^{th} iteration and $\hat{y}_l^{(i)}$ represent the corresponding prediction from DBN_l.

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P}} \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} \left(f_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}) - [h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q}) + \nabla h_{mg}^{T}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg} - \boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})^{T}] \right)$$

s.t. $C1', C2, C3.$ (34)

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} \left(f_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}) - [h_{mg}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q}) + \nabla h_{mg}^{T}(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})(\boldsymbol{P}_{mg} - \boldsymbol{P}_{mg}^{q})^{T}] \right) \\ + \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mu_{k,mg} \left((1 - 2^{\frac{R_{reg}}{B}}) \left(\sum_{l=k+1}^{K} p_{l,mg} \lambda_{k,l,mg}^{2} + \sigma^{2} \right) + p_{k,mg} \lambda_{k,k,mg}^{2} \right) \\ + \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nu_{k,mg} \cdot p_{k,mg} + \psi \left(P_{max} - \sum_{mg=1}^{MGs} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{k,mg} \right).$$
(35)

TABLE I PROPOSED DUAL-LAYER ITERATIVE RESOURCE Allocation Algorithm

1: Initialization

	Set iteration index $i = 0$ and stopping criterion $c > 0$
	Set α^{min} and α^{max} let $\alpha^{min} \leq \alpha^* \leq \alpha^{max}$
	Set γ_{EE} and γ_{EE} , let $\gamma_{EE} \geq \gamma_{EE} \geq \gamma_{EE}$. Set the maximum iteration number I
7 .	
∠. 2.	$c_{i}^{i} = (c_{i}^{max} + c_{i}^{min})/2$
5. 4.	$\gamma_{EE} = (\gamma_{EE} + \gamma_{EE})/2.$
4.	Solve (22) with a given γ_{EE} and get r :
	1). Initialization Set the iteration index $a = 0$
	Set the stopping criterion $\epsilon > 0$
	Set the initial value of the transmit power $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}$
	Set the initial value of the transmit power $F^{(1)}$.
	Calculate $I^{+} = F(\mathbf{P}^{+}) - H(\mathbf{P}^{+})$.
	2): repeat 3): Set the iteration index $a_1 = 0$ $c_2 > 0$
	5). Set the iteration index $s_1 = 0, \epsilon_1 > 0$
	and $\epsilon_2 > 0$ as the stopping effections. Initialize $\mu(0) > 0$ $\mu(0) > 0$ and $\mu(0) > 0$
	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
	4): Solve (34) to get optimal transmit power P
	$5). \qquad \text{repeat} \qquad (0)$
	6): Set $s_2 = 0$ and initialize $p_{k,mg}^{(\gamma)}$.
	7): repeat
	8): for $k=1:K$
	9): for mg=1:MGs (s_0)
	10): Update $p_{k,mg}^{(32)}$ according to (38)-(39).
	11): end for
	12): end for
	13): $s_2 = s_2 + 1$.
	14): until $\ \nabla_{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{mg}}}\mathcal{L}\ ^2 \leq \epsilon_1.$
	15): $s_1 = s_1 + 1$.
	16): Update $\mu^{(s_1)}$, $\nu^{(s_1)}$ and $\psi^{(s_1)}$.
	17): until μ , ν and ψ converge,
	i.e. $\ \mu^{(s_1)} - \mu^{(s_1-1)}\ ^2 \le \epsilon_2$,
	$\ \nu^{(s_1)} - \nu^{(s_1-1)}\ ^2 \le \epsilon_2$, and
	$ \psi^{(s_1)} - \psi^{(s_1-1)} ^2 \le \epsilon_2.$
	18): Set $q = q + 1$, and $P^q = P^*$.
	19): Calculate $I^q = F(\mathbf{P}^q) - H(\mathbf{P}^q)$.
_	20): until $ I^{q} - I^{q-1} = \Xi \le \epsilon$.
5:	$ \mathbf{f} \mathbf{T} (\gamma_{EE}^{i}) = R_{total}(\mathbf{P}^{i}) - \gamma_{EE}^{i} P C_{total}(\mathbf{P}^{i}) \leq \varepsilon $
	then $P^* = P^i$ and $\gamma^*_{EE} = R_{total}(P^i)/PC_{total}(P^i)$
6:	break.
7:	
8:	if $T(\gamma_{EE}^{i}) < 0$, then
9:	$\gamma_{EE}^{max} = \gamma_{EE}^{\epsilon}.$
10:	else min i
11:	$\gamma_{EE}^{met} = \gamma_{EE}^{*}.$
12:	ena II
13:	ena II
14:	i = i + 1.
15:	until $i > L_{max}$.

D. Model Prediction

The channel coefficients $h_{k,l,mg}$ are randomly generated and the input layers of all DBNs are given by $X = [h_{1,1,mg1}, \dots, h_{1,N_t,mg1}, \dots, h_{K,1,mg1}, \dots, h_{K,N_t,mg1}, \dots,$

TABLE II Resource Allocation Algorithm Based on DBN

1): Set the stopping criterion ϵ_3 and ϵ_4 .		
2): Training Data Generation Part:		
3): generate plenty of training data samples $\{X, Y\}$		
4): Model Optimization Part:		
5): for $l = 1 : K \cdot MGs$		
6): for $m = 1 : M$		
7): initialize Λ for the m^{th} RBM		
8): Pre-training phase		
9): repeat		
10): calculate Λ according to equation (46)		
11): $\operatorname{until} \ \mathbf{\Lambda}_{(t+1)} - \mathbf{\Lambda}_{(t-1)} \ ^2 \leq \epsilon_3$		
12): Fine-tuning phase		
13): repeat		
14): Λ is fine-tune on account of equation (49)		
15): $\mathbf{until} \ \mathbf{\Lambda}_{(t'+1)} - \mathbf{\Lambda}_{(t'-1)} \ ^2 \le \epsilon_4$		
16): end		
17): end		
18): Model Prediction Part:		
19): randomly generate channel gains and define X		
as $[h_{1,1,ma1}, \cdots, h_{1,N_{t},ma1}, \cdots, h_{K,N_{t},maMC_{t}}]^{T}$		
20): load the well-trained model		
21): predict $\hat{y}_l (1 \le l \le MGs \cdot K)$ with X and		
obtain the approximation of solution		
18): Model Prediction Part: 19): randomly generate channel gains and define X as $[h_{1,1,mg1}, \dots, h_{1,N_t,mg1}, \dots, h_{K,N_t,mg_{MGs}}]^T$ 20): load the well-trained model 21): predict $\hat{y}_l (1 \le l \le MGs \cdot K)$ with X and obtain the approximation of solution		

 $h_{K,N_t,mg_{MGs}}]^T$. With the well-trained model and the input \boldsymbol{X} , the estimation of the optimal output is calculated directly from model's prediction. Hence, the approximated solution \boldsymbol{p}^* of the proposed framework can be obtained as $\boldsymbol{p}^* = [\hat{y}_{1,1}, \cdots, \hat{y}_{K,1}, \cdots, \hat{y}_{K,MGs}]^T$. Details of the proposed DBN-based resource allocation algorithm is summarized in TABLE II.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, simulation results are presented to evaluate the performance of the bisection-based resource allocation algorithm. To evaluate the EE performance, we employ a channel with the carrier frequency operating at 10 GHz [37]. The power amplifier drain efficiency is set to $\alpha = 2$, the circuit power $P_{T_x}^{(n_t)}$ and $P_{R_x}^{(k,n_t)}$ is set to 100 mW. In particular, we consider K = 4 users randomly distributed in a fan-shaped area, which is 2 km away from the BS and all the results are averaged over various random locations of terminals. The proposed system is composed of uniform linear arrays with 4 antennas at the transmitter and the element spacing is $\zeta = 7\lambda$. The selected PSOAM MGs of each transmitting antenna are $mg^1 = \{-55, -54, \dots, -46, -45\}$ and $mg^2 =$ $\{45, 46, \ldots, 54, 55\}$. The radius of the receiving aperture R is approximately 1.57 m when the relative distance is 100 m, and hence the EE is calculated at a R of 1.57 m [16]. The bandwidth of the system is normalized to 1 Hz. According to the algorithm we proposed, the stopping criteria are set to $\varepsilon = \epsilon = 10^{-3}$. It is worth noting that the parameters in this system are selected to prove the performance of EE as an



Fig. 3. The convergence evolution of the proposed resource allocation algorithm.

example and can be replaced by other reasonable parameters according to the specific scenarios.

Our DBNs are implemented based on *Pytorch*. We prepare 1000 data samples $\{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\}$ to train the DBNs in each case of various system parameters setting. The number of neurons of each hidden layer in the DBN model is taken as 32, 64 and 32, respectively. The learning rate χ and $\tilde{\chi}$ are taken as $1e^{-4}$. Besides, the training epoches is 2000. The terminating threshould $\epsilon_3 = 1e^{-3}$ and $\epsilon_4 = 1e^{-3}$.

In the first simulation, the convergence behaviour of the proposed bisection-based resource allocation algorithm is evaluated by demonstrating how the Ξ and Υ behave with the number of iterations. We set $P_{max} = 2W$, $R_{req} = 1$ bit/s/Hz. As it can be seen in Fig. 3, the inner layer of the proposed algorithm converges to zero, and the initiation point P_0 affects the convergence speed of the proposed algorithm. Specifically, Ξ converges to zero after eight iteration when $P_0 = 0.2P_{max}, 0.4P_{max}, 0.6P_{max}, 0.8P_{max}$. Moreover, as it can seen in Fig. 4, the outer layer of the proposed algorithm can also converge to zero at approximately eight iterations, which demonstrates that the convergence of our proposed two-layer algorithm can converge to a stable value. We also investigate the γ_{EE}^{i} of the proposed EE algorithm with different constraints of γ_{EE}^{max} . We set $P_0 = 0.2P_{max}$, $P_{max} = 2W, R_{req} = 1bit/s/Hz$. As shown in Fig. 5, γ_{EE}^{i} converges to a stable value after eight iteration with γ_{EE}^{max} = 3, 5, 10 bits/Joule/Hz, and the γ_{EE}^{max} affects the convergence speed of the proposed bisection method. These results demonstrate the stability and validity of the proposed algorithm.

In the next simulation, we study the EE performance of the presented algorithm under different number of users with various circuit power P_c . The number of users is ranged from one to seven, and circuit power $P_{T_x}^{(n_t)}$ and $P_{R_x}^{(k,n_r)}$ are set to 100mW, 120mW and 140mW. As shown in Fig. 6, with the increase of P_c , γ_{EE}^* decreases accordingly as anticipated. The reason is that γ_{EE} is inversely proportional to P_{total} , and hence increasing circuit power leads to increasing the total



Fig. 4. The convergence evolution of the proposed bisection-based EE optimization algorithm.



Fig. 5. An example of convergence evolution of the proposed bisection-based EE optimization algorithm in terms of γ_{EE}^{max} .

power consumption of the system, thus leads to a poor γ_{EE}^* . In addition, if P_c remains constant, γ^*_{EE} decreases as the number of users increases. This is because the interference within the same PSOAM MGs will be enhanced with the increasing users number. Therefore, higher transmit power is required to meet the minimum user rate and the hardware circuit consumption when the number of users is large. Hence, this results in a poor γ_{EE}^* when the users number raises. Furthermore, to show the EE gain achieved by the proposed PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system, we compare our proposed algorithm with the SE maximization for PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA and the EE maximization for PSOAM MGs based OMA. As shown in Fig. 7, EE achieved by the proposed PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system outperform the PSOAM MGs based OMA scheme regardless of the number of users. This is because NOMA can enhance the EE by allowing simultaneously serving multiple users with the same physical resource. The results also show that NOMA combined with



Fig. 6. The performance of the proposed algorithm with different number of users and circuit power.



Fig. 7. Comparison of the optimal EE for different number of users in the network.

PSOAM can effectively improve the EE performance. Besides, the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system achieves higher EE compared with the SE maximization scheme for PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA, which demonstrates the effectiveness of our proposed resource allocation algorithm. Particularly, the superiority is more obvious as the number of users increase. This is because the interference increases with the growth of the number of users and the increasing sum rate of the system cannot offset the consumption of the transmit power, leading to a decrease in EE. In addition, the simulation results for the proposed resource allocation scheme with DBN are in accordance with the results obtained by the dual layer resource allocation algorithm, which prove the validity of the proposed DBN-based framework.

Next, we aim to study the EE performance under the constraints of transmit power and minimum required data rate. We first show the optimal EE γ_{EE}^* of the proposed bisection-based resource allocation algorithm with different



Fig. 8. The performance of the proposed algorithms with different minimum rate requirement constraints.

transmit power P_{max} and minimum required data rate R_{req} . To prove the effectiveness of our proposed method, we apply the algorithms in the PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system and the traditional multi-user MIMO-NOMA system [38] for comparison. As can be seen in Fig. 8, the γ_{EE}^* obtained by all the algorithms are monotonically decreasing with the increase of R_{req} . For the proposed dual layer resource allocation algorithm, a significant drop occurs in our proposed system when the minimum data rate of users is larger than 4 bit/s/Hz. This is owing to the fact that the limitation of transmit power cannot satisfy the QoS requirement of each user. Similarly, the EE of the conventional MIMO system decreases slowly in the lower rate region, i.e., $0.5 \le R_{req} < 2.5$ bit/s/Hz, and then decreases sharply when $R_{req} > 2.5$ bit/s/Hz. This is because a lower rate constraint needs smaller transmit power and thus the transmit power is allocated to achieve the γ_{EE}^* . Inversely, more transmit power ought to be allocated to satisfy the minimum user rate when R_{req} is high, which leads to the rapidly decreasing curve. Compared with the conventional multi-user MIMO-NOMA system, our proposed solution is capable of achieving a significant performance gain in terms of EE owing to the degree of freedom provided by PSOAM MGs and MIMO-NOMA techniques, which is a splendid solution for the improvement of EE.

Finally, we investigate the γ_{EE}^* of the proposed solution with various transmit power P_{max} as well as different users number. As can be seen in Fig. 9, the γ_{EE}^* obtained by the two approaches first increase and then become constant at high transmit power region since the transmitter clips the transmit power once the maximum EE is achieved. Specifically, the γ_{EE}^* increases dramatically with a lower P_{max} , and then achieves an asymptotic value when the balance between the available rates and the energy consumption is obtained. This is because in the high P_{max} region, a portion of the maximum transmit power is used to remain the optimal EE instead of exploiting the full P_{max} region to maximize the total rate, hence the total rates will not further increase with P_{max} .



Fig. 9. The performance of the proposed algorithms with different entire transmit power constraints.

Additionally, higher P_{max} is required to achieve the stable γ_{EE}^* when the users number increases in the system. This is because if the users number becomes larger, the total harvested power will increase and hence lead to a poor EE performance. In addition, it can be seen that our presented PSOAM MGs based multi-user MIMO-NOMA system achieves much higher EE than the conventional multi-user MIMO-NOMA system for all feasible P_{max} values. This is because the number of sub-channels in our proposed system is larger than that of the conventional MIMO-NOMA system due to mode division multiplexing using PSOAM MGs beams to achieve higher data rate or EE compared with the conventional multi-user MIMO-NOMA system.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we explore the EE optimization problem for a PSOAM MGs based multi-user MIMO-NOMA system. We aim to maximize the EE while meeting the constraints of total transmit power and minimum user rate. The corresponding problem of maximizing EE is NP-hard and cannot be tackled directly. To solve this problem, a dual layer resource allocation algorithm is developed. Particularly, we achieve the optimal EE in the outer layer via the bisection-based solution and achieve the optimal resource allocation in the inner layer through the resource distribution iterative algorithm. We also investigate another alternative algorithm to achieve the goal of EE maximization with the help of deep learning. Numerical results validate the superiority of the proposed PSOAM MGs based MIMO-NOMA system in EE compared with the conventional multi-user MIMO-NOMA system. Future works will investigate the performance of PSOAM MGs for the channel with partial channel state information (CSI). Besides, the EE and SE trade-off problem for the PSOAM MGs based multiuser MIMO-NOMA system is also a significant task in the future.

Appendix A

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

We can make an assumption that the optimal transmit resource allocation for (19) is P^* . For all feasible transmit resource allocation $P \in \{C1, C2, C3\}$, we can obtain the formulas

$$R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^* P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) \le 0, \tag{51}$$

$$R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*) - \gamma_{EE}^* P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*) = 0.$$
 (52)

Equation (51) and (52) can be transformed as $\frac{R_{total}(P)}{PC_{total}(P)} \leq \gamma_{EE}^*$ and $\frac{R_{total}(P^*)}{PC_{total}(P^*)} = \gamma_{EE}^*$, respectively. Therefore, P^* is the optimal solution for (15) as well as (19).

Now, we start to prove the necessity of *Proposition* 1. According to (15), we can obtain $\frac{R_{total}(P)}{PC_{total}(P)} \leq \gamma_{EE}^{*}$ and $\frac{R_{total}(P^{*})}{PC_{total}(P^{*})} = \gamma_{EE}^{*}$ for all feasible solution $P \in \{C1, C2, C3\}$ which can be transformed into

$$R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^* P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) \le 0, \tag{53}$$

$$R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*) - \gamma_{EE}^* P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^*) = 0.$$
 (54)

 P^* is the optimal solution for (19) as well.

APPENDIX B PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

We assume that there are two values of EE denoted as γ_{EE}^a and γ_{EE}^b . Let $\gamma_{EE}^a > \gamma_{EE}^b$. The optimal transmit resource allocation corresponding to γ_{EE}^a and γ_{EE}^b is P^a and P^b . We have

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}^{a}) &= \max_{\boldsymbol{P}} R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) - \gamma_{EE}^{a} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}) \\ &= R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^{a}) - \gamma_{EE}^{a} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^{a}) \\ &< R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^{a}) - \gamma_{EE}^{b} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^{a}) \\ &\leq R_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^{b}) - \gamma_{EE}^{b} P C_{total}(\boldsymbol{P}^{b}) \\ &= \Upsilon(\gamma_{EE}^{b}). \end{split}$$
(55)

Hence, we have proved the strictly monotonically decreasing character of (21) in γ_{EE} .

REFERENCES

- F. Guo, F. R. Yu, H. Zhang, X. Li, H. Ji, and V. C. M. Leung, "Enabling massive IoT toward 6G: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 15, pp. 11891–11915, Aug. 2021.
- [2] O. Edfors and A. J. Johansson, "Is orbital angular momentum (OAM) based radio communication an unexploited area?" *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 1126–1131, Feb. 2012.
- [3] W. Zhang et al., "Mode division multiplexing communication using microwave orbital angular momentum: An experimental study," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 1308–1318, Feb. 2017.
- [4] B. Thidé et al., "Utilization of photon orbital angular momentum in the low-frequency radio domain," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 99, no. 8, Aug. 2007, Art. no. 087701.
- [5] L. Liang, W. Cheng, W. Zhang, and H. Zhang, "Joint OAM multiplexing and OFDM in sparse multipath environments," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 3864–3878, Apr. 2020.
- [6] Y. Yagi, H. Sasaki, T. Yamada, and D. Lee, "200 Gbit/s wireless transmission using dual-polarized OAM-MIMO multiplexing with uniform circular array on 28 GHz band," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 833–837, May 2021.

- [7] H. Jing, W. Cheng, Z. Li, and H. Zhang, "Concentric UCAs based loworder OAM for high capacity in radio vortex wireless communications," *J. Commun. Inf. Netw.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 85–100, Dec. 2018.
- [8] C. Zhang and Y. Zhao, "Orbital angular momentum nondegenerate index mapping for long distance transmission," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 5027–5036, Nov. 2019.
- [9] X. Ge, R. Zi, X. Xiong, Q. Li, and L. Wang, "Millimeter wave communications with OAM-SM scheme for future mobile networks," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 2163–2177, Sep. 2017.
- [10] W. Zhang, S. Zheng, Y. Chen, X. Jin, H. Chi, and X. Zhang, "Orbital angular momentum-based communications with partial arc sampling receiving," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1381–1384, Jul. 2016.
- [11] S. Zheng, X. Hui, X. Jin, H. Chi, and X. Zhang, "Transmission characteristics of a twisted radio wave based on circular traveling-wave antenna," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1530–1536, Apr. 2015.
- [12] Z. Zhang, S. Zheng, W. Zhang, X. Jin, H. Chi, and X. Zhang, "Experimental demonstration of the capacity gain of plane spiral OAM-based MIMO system," *IEEE Microw. Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 757–759, Aug. 2017.
- [13] S. Zheng *et al.*, "Non-line-of-sight channel performance of plane spiral orbital angular momentum MIMO systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 25377–25384, 2017.
- [14] Z. Zhang, S. Zheng, J. Zheng, X. Jin, H. Chi, and X. Zhang, "Plane spiral orbital angular momentum wave and its applications," in *IEEE MTT-S Int. Microw. Symp. Dig.*, May 2016, pp. 1–4.
- [15] J. Zhou, S. Zheng, X. Yu, X. Jin, and X. Zhang, "Low probability of intercept communication based on structured radio beams using machine learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 169946–169952, 2019.
- [16] X. Xiong, S. Zheng, Z. Zhu, X. Yu, X. Jin, and X. Zhang, "Performance analysis of plane spiral OAM mode-group based MIMO system," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1414–1418, Jul. 2020.
- [17] X. Xiong *et al.*, "Direct generation of OAM mode-group and its application in LoS-MIMO system," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 2628–2631, Nov. 2020.
- [18] Z. Zhu *et al.*, "A compact pattern reconfiguration antenna based on multimode plane spiral OAM," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 1168–1172, Feb. 2021.
- [19] Z. Wang *et al.*, "Structure radio beam construction in azimuthal domain," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 9395–9402, 2020.
- [20] L. Song, Y. Li, Z. Ding, and H. V. Poor, "Resource management in nonorthogonal multiple access networks for 5G and beyond," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 8–14, Jul./Aug. 2017.
- [21] Y. Yu, H. Chen, Y. Li, Z. Ding, and B. Vucetic, "On the performance of non-orthogonal multiple access in short-packet communications," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 590–593, Mar. 2018.
- [22] Y. Zhang, H. M. Wang, T. X. Zheng, and Q. Yang, "Energy-efficient transmission design in non-orthogonal multiple access," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 2852–2857, Mar. 2017.
- [23] Z. Ding, Z. Yang, P. Fan, and H. V. Poor, "On the performance of non-orthogonal multiple access in 5G systems with randomly deployed users," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1501–1505, Jul. 2014.
- [24] J. Tang *et al.*, "Energy efficiency optimization for NOMA with SWIPT," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 452–466, Jun. 2019.
- [25] J. Ding, J. Cai, and C. Yi, "An improved coalition game approach for MIMO-NOMA clustering integrating beamforming and power allocation," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 1672–1687, Feb. 2019.
- [26] B. Wang, L. Dai, Z. Wang, N. Ge, and S. Zhou, "Spectrum and energyefficient beamspace MIMO-NOMA for millimeter-wave communications using lens antenna array," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 2370–2382, Oct. 2017.
- [27] A. A. Amin and S. Y. Shin, "Channel capacity analysis of nonorthogonal multiple access with OAM-MIMO system," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 1481–1485, Sep. 2020.
- [28] X. Zhang and S. Zheng, "Grouping plane spiral electromagnetic waves for structured RF beams," in *Proc. 6th Asia–Pacific Conf. Antennas Propag. (APCAP)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 1–3.
- [29] H. Li, L. Song, and M. Debbah, "Energy efficiency of large-scale multiple antenna systems with transmit antenna selection," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 638–647, Feb. 2014.

- [30] S. Cui, A. J. Goldsmith, and A. Bahai, "Energy-efficiency of MIMO and cooperative MIMO techniques in sensor networks," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1089–1098, Aug. 2004.
- [31] Z. Liu, J. Li, and D. Sun, "Circuit power consumption-unaware energy efficiency optimization for massive MIMO systems," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 370–373, Jun. 2017.
- [32] Y. Li, M. Sheng, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, and J. Wen, "Max-min energyefficient power allocation in interference-limited wireless networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Techno.*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 4321–4326, Sep. 2015.
- [33] L. Zhang, Y. Xin, and Y. Liang, "Weighted sum rate optimization for cognitive radio MIMO broadcast channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 2950–2959, Jun. 2009.
- [34] P. H. Calamai and J. J. Moré, "Projected gradient methods for linearly constrained problems," *Math. Program.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 93–116, Sep. 1987.
- [35] X. Huang and V. Y. Pan, "Fast rectangular matrix multiplication and applications," J. Complex., vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 257–299, Jun. 1998.
- [36] X. Cao, R. Ma, L. Liu, H. Shi, Y. Cheng, and C. Sun, "A machine learning-based algorithm for joint scheduling and power control in wireless networks," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 4308–4318, Dec. 2018.
- [37] J. Tang et al., "Energy efficiency optimization for plane spiral OAM mode-group based MIMO-NOMA systems," in Proc. Int. Conf. Artif. Intell. Commun. Netw., 2021, pp. 177–188.
- [38] Y. Liu, Z. Qin, M. Elkashlan, A. Nallanathan, and J. A. McCann, "Nonorthogonal multiple access in large-scale heterogeneous networks," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 2667–2680, Dec. 2017.



Yan Song received the B.Eng. degree from the School of Communication Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2016. She is currently pursuing the M.Sc. degree with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, South China University of Technology, China, under the supervision of Dr. Jie Tang. Her research interests include plane spiral orbital angular momentum, energy efficiency optimization, machine learning, non-orthogonal multiple access, and 5G networks.



Jie Tang (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. degree from the South China University of Technology, China, the M.Sc. degree from the University of Bristol, U.K., and the Ph.D. degree from Loughborough University, U.K. From 2013 to 2015, he was a Research Associate at the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Manchester, U.K. He is currently a Professor at the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, South China University of Technology. His current research interests include SWIPT, UAV communi-

cations, NOMA, and reconfigurable intelligent surface. He received the IEEE ComSoc Asia-Pacific Outstanding Young Researcher Award in 2021. He was a co-recipient of Best Paper Awards at the ICNC 2018, CSPS 2018, WCSP 2019, 6GN 2020, and AICON2021. He also served as a Track Co-Chair for IEEE VTC-Spring 2018 and 2022, the Symposium Co-Chair for IEEE/CIC 1CCC 2020 and IEEE ComComAp 2019, the TPC Co-Chair for IEEE/CIC 2019, and the Workshop Co-Chair for IEEE ICCC/CIC 2019. He is also the Vice Chair of the Special Interest Group on Green Cellular Networks within the IEEE ComSoc Green Communications and Computing Technical Committee. He is also serving as an Editor for IEEE WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS LETTERS, IEEE SYSTEMS JOURNAL, and *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*. He is a Guest Editor for two special issues in IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GREEN COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING, and one special issue in IEEE OPEN JOURNAL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS SOCIETY.



Chuting Lin received the B.Eng. degree from the School of Electronic Science and Technology, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, in 2016. She is currently pursuing the M.Sc. degree with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, South China University of Technology, China, under the supervision of Dr. Jie Tang. Her research interests include orbital angular momentum, energy efficiency optimization, machine learning, non-orthogonal multiple access, and 5G networks.



Wanmei Feng (Student Member, IEEE) received the M.Sc. degree (Hons.) from the Department of Physics and Telecommunications Engineering, South China Normal University (SCNU), Guangzhou, China, in 2018. She is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, South China University of Technology, China, under the supervision of Prof. Jie Tang and Prof. Yuli Fu. Her research interests include energy efficiency optimization, UAV communications, non-orthogonal multiple

access, simultaneous wireless information, power transfer, and 5G networks.



Zhen Chen (Member, IEEE) received the M.S. degree in software engineering from Xiamen University in 2012 and the Ph.D. degree in electronic engineering from the South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China, in 2019. From 2020 to 2022, he was a Research Fellow with the Hong Kong Applied Science and Technology Research Institute, Hong Kong. He is currently an Associate Research Fellow at the South China University of Technology. His current research interests include wireless communications, signal processing,

channel estimation, energy efficiency optimization, and 5G/6G networks. He served as a TPC Member for IEEE GLOBECOM 2022 SPC. He was a co-recipient of the EAI AICON 2021 Best Paper Awards. He was a exemplary reviewer of several journals.



Daniel Ka Chun So (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. degree (Hons.) in electrical and electronics engineering from The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical and electronics engineering from the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong. In 2003, he joined The University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K., as a Lecturer, where he is currently a Professor and the Discipline Head of Education with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering. His research interests

include green communications, NOMA, beyond 5G and 6G networks, heterogeneous networks, SWIPT, massive MIMO, cognitive radio, D2D and cooperative communications, channel equalization, and estimation techniques. He was a Symposium Co-Chair of the IEEE ICC 2019 and the IEEE GLOBECOM 2020 and a Track Co-Chair of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC) Spring 2016, 2017, 2018, 2021, and 2022. He is also the Chair of the Special Interest Group on Green Cellular Networks within the IEEE ComSoc Green Communications and Computing Technical Committee. He is also a Senior Editor of the IEEE WIRELESS COMMUNICATION LETTERS after being the Editor from 2016 to 2020, and the Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS. He is the Lead Guest Editor of the Special Issue in IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GREEN COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING in 2021.



Kai-Kit Wong (Fellow, IEEE) received the B.Eng., M.Phil., and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and electronic engineering from the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, in 1996, 1998, and 2001, respectively. After graduation, he took up academic and research positions with the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Lucent Technologies, BellLabs, Holmdel, NJ, USA, Smart Antennas Research Group, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA, and University of Hull, Hull, U.K. He is currently the Chair of wireless

communications with the Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University College London, London, U.K. His current research interests include 5G and beyond mobile communications. He is a fellow of IET and is also on the editorial board of several international journals. He was a co-recipient of the 2013 IEEE SIGNAL PROCESSING LETTERS Best Paper Award and the 2000 IEEE VTS Japan Chapter Award at the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference in Japan in 2000, and a few other international best paper awards. Since 2020, he has been the Editor-in-Chief of the IEEE WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS LETTERS.